

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,628	05/09/2005	Peter Hegemann	231181	4179	
23460 LEYDIG VOI	7590 11/28/200 Γ & MAYER, LTD	EXAM	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE		
180 NORTH S CHICAGO, IL	TETSON AVENUE . 60601-6731	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1649			
			MAIL DATE	DEL MEDITA CODE	
			11/28/2008	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/510,628	HEGEMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STACEY MACFARLANE	1649	

	STACEY MACFARLANE	1649					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11/6/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 TCR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. M The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 November 2008</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		- I price in the interior (					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.8-12,19-26 and 32-35.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-18.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/Olga N. Chernyshev/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1649					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims amended which remove recitation of "inserting one or more biological photoreceptors in to a membrane" and now recite "inserting one or more light-locationalist in to a membrane"; and "wherein the light-location ded membrane depolarization is realized" has been amended to include the recitation of "by lowering the ion conductivity of the membrane by activating the one or more light-controlled channels by exposure to light", raise new issues of prosecution under 112 and require a new search within the art. Furthemore, dependent claims have been amended to recite an amino acid sequence identified by a newly submitted sequence listing.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the request for reconsideration has been reviewed in full it is not found to place the application in condition for allowance. Arguments traversing the recion over prior at are rendered moot in view of the current claim amendments and added sequence listing. The Hildebrant and Nagel prior art references were applied in so far as they anticipated the previously recited claim limitations, now amended. All rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Paper filed May 7, 2008. All claim limitations are as previously presented, considered and rejected for reasons as previously set forth.